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## Obesity Rate for Young Children Plummets 43\% in a Decade

By SABRINA TAVERNISE FEB. 25, 2014
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Federal health authorities on Tuesday reported a 43 percent drop in the obesity rate among 2to 5 -year-old children over the past decade, the first broad decline in an epidemic that often leads to lifelong struggles with weight and higher risks for cancer, heart disease and stroke.

The drop emerged from a major federal health survey that experts say is the gold standard for evidence on what Americans weigh. The trend came as a welcome surprise to researchers. New evidence has shown that obesity takes hold young: Children who are overweight or obese at 3 to 5 years old are five times as likely to be overweight or obese as adults.

A smattering of states have reported modest progress in reducing childhood obesity in recent years, and last year the federal authorities noted a slight decline in the obesity rate among low-income children. But the figures on Tuesday showed a sharp fall in obesity rates among all 2 - to 5 -year-olds, offering the first clear evidence that America's youngest children have turned a corner in the obesity epidemic. About 8 percent of 2 - to 5 -year-olds were obese in 2012, down from 14 percent in 2004.
"This is the first time we've seen any indication of any significant decrease in any group," said Cynthia L. Ogden, a researcher for the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and the lead author of the report, which will be published in JAMA, The Journal of the American Medical Association, on Wednesday. "It was exciting."


Oumou Balde, 4, left, with her teacher, Jacqualine Sanchez, in a nutrition program in New York. Seth Wenig/Associated Press

## Food Purchase Analysis

Track grocery store purchases among WIC households in CT and MA, 2009-2010

- Milk and cheese
- Whole grain bread and allowable substitutes
- 100\% juice
- Fruit and vegetables


## Grocery Store Scanner Data

$>$ Regional New England supermarket chain with over 60 stores
> Loyalty card users
$>$ Known source of payment (WIC, SNAP, cash)
$>$ No socio-demographic information on customers
$>$ Only data on households that used WIC benefits
$>$ All grocery purchases

## Our Sample

> WIC participants before and after revisions

- Jan-Sep 2009 and Jan-Sep 2010
- Implementation Oct 2009
- Transition period of Oct-Dec 2009 excluded
- Used WIC benefits each quarter
- $\mathbf{N}=2,137$ households
- 18 months of data on the same WIC households
> Multivariate regression models


## Juice Study

## Juice consumption among 2-5 year old children exceeds dietary recommendations

> Juice allowances in new WIC food packages significantly reduced
$>$ Effects unknown

- Juice substitution from non-WIC funds
- Substitution into less healthy beverages

Analyzed products
$>100 \%$ juice (615 UPCs)
>Soda, fruit drinks, water, new age beverages

## Juice Study

## Effects of Reduced Juice Allowances in Food Packages for the Women, Infants, and Children Program

>24\% less juice purchased after WIC revisions
> Little compensation from purchases with nonWIC funds
$>$ No shifts to soda purchases
Hope for less sugar in diets of WIC participants

## Milk and Cheese Study

## State differences

>CT allows 2\%, 1\% and skim milk
>MA allows 1\% and skim milk
>MA started gradual implementation in early 2008
>Use only CT in milk analysis (515 WIC HHs)
Analyzed products
>Cow's milk of any fat (208 UPCs)
> WIC-eligible cheese (199 UPCs)

## Milk and Cheese Study

> Large decrease in whole milk and cheese purchases

- $49 \%$ less whole milk, $37 \%$ less cheese
- 56\% more reduced-fat milk
$>$ Weak compensation from purchases using nonWIC funds
- None for whole milk, little for cheese
$>$ Milk with highest allowable fat purchased
- 2\% in CT, 1\% in MA


## Whole Grain Study

## Questions on new whole grains for WIC

> Substitution for white bread vs. getting more bread overall
> Learning to like whole grains: Use of non-WIC funds

Analyzed products
$>$ Bread (1130 UPCs)

- 100\% whole grain, some whole grain, non-whole grain
$>$ Rice (194 UPCs)
- White, brown
$>$ Tortillas (58 UPCs)


## Whole Grain Study

$>$ Increase in whole grain bread \& rice purchases

- >300\% growth, all explained by WIC revisions
- Very low baseline
> Replacing white bread with whole grain
- Substitution, not addition
- 12\% less white bread purchased
$>$ No evidence (yet) of spillovers into non-WIC purchases
- No significant change within a year


## Fruit and Vegetable Study

## Questions

> Substitution for fruit and vegetables purchased using non-WIC funds vs. buying more FVs

## Analyzed products

$>$ Fruit (1733 UPCs) and vegetables (3,229 UPCs)
$>$ Fresh, canned, frozen
>Excluding white potatoes, canned/frozen products with added sugars, cream, oils

## Fruit and Vegetable Study

$>$ Increase in fruit and vegetable purchases

- $29 \%$ more fresh fruit and $17 \%$ more fresh veggies
> Fresh fruit more popular than vegetables
- 3 times higher spending
> Limited role of WIC in fruit and vegetable purchases
- $15 \%$ for veggies, $25 \%$ for fruit
>Small substitution effects for non-WIC purchases


## Summary

$>$ We showed improved food purchases of WIC participants after WIC revisions (MA and CT)
$>$ This could mean (should be tested):
Improved diet quality
Reduced BMI /obesity
$>$ We also showed better availability of healthy foods as a result of WIC revisions (multiple regions)
>All of these at no additional cost to taxpayers (by design)
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## Thank You!



## Appendix background tables in case Qs

## Milk: Total Purchases

|  | exp(b) | Marginal predicted means <br> Oz per HH per month <br> 2009 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total milk | $0.86^{* * *}$ | 503 | 431 |
| Whole milk | $0.51^{* * *}$ | 280 | 142 |
| 2\% milk | $1.56^{* * *}$ | 110 | 172 |
| 1\% milk | 1.04 | 97 | 101 |
| Skim milk | 1.22 | 18 | 22 |
| WIC-eligible <br> cheese | $0.63^{* * *}$ | 24 | 15 |

Note: Data for CT. Source: Andreyeva et al. JAND 2013.

## Milk: Non-WIC Purchases

|  | $\exp (b)$ | Marginal predicted means <br> Oz per HH per month <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total milk | 1.01 | 126 | 127 |
| Whole milk | 0.86 | 73 | 62 |
| 2\% milk | 1.08 | 27 | 29 |
| 1\% milk | $1.37^{* *}$ | 20 | 28 |
| Skim milk | 1.54 | 4 | 6 |
| WIC-eligible <br> cheese | $1.17^{* * *}$ | 10 | 12 |

Note: Data for CT. Source: Andreyeva et al. JAND 2013.

## Milk: WIC Purchases

|  | exp(b) | Marginal predicted means <br> Oz per HH per month <br> 2009 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total milk | $0.81^{* * *}$ | 375 | 302 |
| Whole milk | $0.37^{* * *}$ | 205 | 75 |
| 2\% milk | $1.72^{* * *}$ | 82 | 142 |
| 1\% milk | 0.95 | 76 | 72 |
| Skim milk | 1.13 | 13 | 14 |
| WIC-eligible <br> cheese | $0.23^{* * *}$ | 14 | 3 |

Note: Data for CT. Source: Andreyeva et al. JAND 2013.

## Juice: Changes by Payment

| 100\% Juice | exp(b) | Marginal predicted means <br> Oz per HH per month <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total, any type of <br> payment | $0.77^{* * *}$ | 238 | 182 |
| Purchased with <br> WIC benefits | $0.57^{* * *}$ | 154 | 87 |
| Purchased with <br> non-WIC funds | $1.14^{* * *}$ | 84 | 95 |

## Grains: Non-WIC Purchases

|  | $\exp (b)$ | Marginal predicted means <br> (oz/HH-mo) <br> 2009 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6010 |  |
| White bread | $0.88^{* * *}$ | 60 | 53 |
| $100 \%$ whole grain <br> bread | $0.79^{* * *}$ | 6 | 5 |
| White rice | 1.03 | 5.5 | 5.7 |
| Brown rice | 0.99 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

Source: Andreyeva, Luedicke. AJPM 2013, 45(4).

## Grains: Total Purchases

|  | $\exp (b)$ | Marginal predicted <br> means (oz/HH-mo) <br> 2009 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $0.88^{* * *}$ | 60 |
| White bread | $3.11^{* * *}$ | 6 | 20 |
| $100 \%$ whole <br> grain bread | 1.03 | 5.5 | 5.7 |
| White rice | $8.38^{* * *}$ | 0.3 | 2.4 |
| Brown rice |  |  |  |

## Produce Purchases

|  | exp(b) | Marginal predicted means <br> Pounds per HH per month <br> 2009 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total purchases |  |  |  |  |
| Fresh fruit | $1.25^{* * *}$ | 7.1 | $\mathbf{8 . 9}$ |  |
| Fresh veggies | $1.11^{* * *}$ | 4.9 | 5.5 |  |
| Purchases with non-WIC funds |  |  |  |  |
| Fresh fruit | $0.93^{* * *}$ | 7.1 | 6.6 |  |
| Fresh veggies | $0.96^{* *}$ | 4.9 | 4.7 |  |

Veggies exclude white potatoes

## Produce Purchases

|  | exp(b) | Marginal predicted means <br> Serving cups per HH per month <br> 2009 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total purchases |  |  |  |  |
| Fresh fruit | $1.27^{* * *}$ | 16 | 20 |  |
| Fresh veggies | $1.05^{* * *}$ | 14 | 15 |  |
| Purchases with non-WIC funds |  |  |  |  |
| Fresh fruit | $0.94^{* * *}$ | 16 | 15 |  |
| Fresh veggies | $0.92^{* *}$ | 14 | 13 |  |

Veggies exclude white potatoes

